### **FATENT COOPERATION TREATY**

INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING	AUTH	ORITY				
To: BRADFORD G. ADDISON		DOCKET	/7	PCT		
BARNES & THORNBURG LLP 11 SOUTH & MERIDIAN STRE		OR Kispaul				
INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204	E' B'	Y		ITTEN OPINION OF THE		
	ח	ATE	15-INTERNATIO	ONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY		
		HE'D BY		(PCT Rule 43bis.1)		
		ATE	Date of mailing (day/month/year)	D 2 MAR 2005		
Applicant's or agent's file referen	ce		FOR FURTHER	ACTION See paragraph 2 below		
29010-75970				• • •		
International application No.		International filing date (day/month/year)		Priority date (day/month/year)		
PCT/US04/32401		01 October 2004 (01.10.2004)		03 October 2003 (03.10.2003)		
International Patent Classification	(IPC)	or both national classifica	tion and IPC			
IPC(7): C07D 205/085, 201/08; A	A61K 3	1/397, 31/4178, 31/422,	31/4025 and US Cl.:	540/364, 363		
Applicant						
SERENIX PHARMACEUTICAL	s. LLC					
1. This opinion contains indicate	ons rela	ating to the following item	ns:			
Box No. I Basis of the opinion						
Box No. II Priority						
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
Box No. IV Lack	Lack of unity of invention					
	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
Box No. VI Cert	Certain documents cited					
Box No. VII Cert	Certain defects in the international application					
Box No. VIII Cert	VIII Certain observations on the international application					
2. FURTHER ACTION						
International Preliminary Ex	amining to be tl	g Authority ("IPEA") extending the IPEA and the chosen leading.	ccept that this does PEA has notified the	be considered to be a written opinion of the not apply where the applicant chooses an e International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis (b) lered.		
IPEA a written reply togeth	er, whe	ere appropriate, with am	endments, before th	EA, the applicant is invited to submit to the expiration of 3 months from the date of ority date, whichever expires later.		
For further options, see Form	PCT/I	SA/220.				
3. For further details, see notes	to Form	a PCT/ISA/220.				
Name and mailing address of the l	SA/ US	3	Authorized officer			
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US		•	Mark L. Berch			
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450			IVIAIR L. BEICH	A. Roberts for		
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450			Telephone No. (5			

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230
Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US04/32401

Box N	o. I Basis of this opinion					
1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).					
	2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
a.	type of material					
	a sequence listing					
	table(s) related to the sequence listing					
b.	format of material					
	in written format					
	in computer readable form					
c.	time of filing/furnishing					
	contained in international application as filed.					
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.					
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.					
In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.						
4. Additi	ional comments:					
	<u>.                                    </u>					
	·					
	·					

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE TINTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US04/32401

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability							
<ol> <li>The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:</li> </ol>							
the entire international application							
5-7							
claims Nos. <u>24-27 and 29</u>							
because:							
the said international application, or the said claim Nos relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):							
the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 24-27 and 29 are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):							
Under PCT Rule 6.4(a), these claims have improper multiple dependey, because these claims are multiply dependent on claims, e.g. claim 23, which is itself multiply dependent.							
the claims, or said claims Nos are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.							
no international search report has been established for said claims Nos.							
the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:							
the written form has not been furnished							
does not comply with the standard							
the computer readable form has not been furnished							
does not comply with the standard							
the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.							
See Supplemental Box for further details.							

## WRÎTTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US04/32401

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

1. Statement								
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-23, 28	YES				
	· C	Claims	NONE	NO				
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims J	NONE	_YES				
	C	Claims	1-23, 28	_NO				
	Industrial applicability (IA) C	Claims	1-23, 28	YES				
		Claims 1	NONE	NO				

#### 2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1, 4, 13, 20-23, 28 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over WO 97/30707. See Formula I on pages 3-4. Note example 161, corresponding to R4 = styryl, n=0, R1=A=H, A' = t-butyloxy, R3 = choice I with R10 as phenyl. Note also Example 162, corresponding to R4 = styryl, n=0, R1=H, A = triflouromethyl-benzylamino, A' = t-butyloxy, R3 = choice I with R10 as phenyl. The utility is the same. The claim 28 synthesis appear in the scheme on page 38. The sole difference is that applicants have an extra methyl group, R2 = methyl. Compounds that differ only by the presence or absence of an extra methyl group are homologues. Homologues are of such close structural similarity that the disclosure of a compound renders prima facie obvious its homologue. See also MPEP 2144.09, second paragraph.

Claims 1-23, 28 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over WO 03/031407. See Formula I on pages 2-3 and in particular, Formula III on page 16, and the species of Tables 1-15. These include mono-substituted amino choices (e.g. Table 2, next to last species) and disubstituted amino, e.g. Table 1, species 3. See also Scheme I on page 26 for the synthesis. The sole difference is that applicants have an extra methyl group, R2 = methyl. Compounds that differ only by the presence or absence of an extra methyl group are homologues. Homologues are of such close structural similarity that the disclosure of a compound renders prima facie obvious its homologue. See also MPEP 2144.09, second paragraph.

Claims 1-23, 28 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US04/32401

#### Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the claims of the claims, description, and drawings or on the questions whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

Claims 1-23, 28 are objected to under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(v) as lacking clarity under PCT Article 6 because claims are indefinite for the following reason(s):

Claim 28 is garbled. The final product has R4 in it but the starting materials do not. Thus, the starting materials do not match the final product.

- 1. In A and A', "substituted" with what?
- 2. The purpose of the last choice for R5 and R5' is unclear. This  $R^6R^7N$ -(C2-C4alkyl) choice appears to be identical to the previous  $R^6R^7N$ -(C2-C4alkyl) choice.

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. VIII) (January 2004)